




HEALTHCARE

Technology Transfer Strategies

Maximizing the returns from new technologies

Steven Seget

 [Table of Contents](#)

Steven Seget

Steven Seget is Principal at Delphi Pharma, and provides independent strategic consulting services to the pharmaceutical and biotechnology industries. Steven previously managed the strategic healthcare consulting function at Datamonitor and has an MBA from the London Business School. sseget@delphipharma.com

Delphi Pharma provides strategic, financial and market-based solutions to clients, focusing primarily on the portfolio management, licensing and pricing and reimbursement functions. Delphi Pharma combines an extensive research network, applied analytical expertise and an established track record to deliver high value results and measurable impact to its clients. www.delphipharma.com

Copyright © 2008 Business Insights Ltd

This Management Report is published by Business Insights Ltd. All rights reserved. Reproduction or redistribution of this Management Report in any form for any purpose is expressly prohibited without the prior consent of Business Insights Ltd.

The views expressed in this Management Report are those of the publisher, not of Reuters. Reuters accepts no liability for the accuracy or completeness of the information, advice or comment contained in this Management Report nor for any actions taken in reliance thereon.

While information, advice or comment is believed to be correct at the time of publication, no responsibility can be accepted by Business Insights Ltd for its completeness or accuracy.

REUTERS and dotted and sphere logos are the house trade marks of Reuters Limited in more than 25 countries world-wide.

Printed and bound in Great Britain by FPC Greenaway. Ormolu House, Crimscott Street, London SE1 5TE. www.greenaways.com

Table of Contents

Technology transfer strategies

Executive Summary	10	
An introduction to technology transfer	10	
Technology transfer trends	11	
Geographical differences	12	
Structuring the function	13	
Bridging the funding gap	14	
Chapter 1	An introduction to technology transfer	16
Summary		16
Introduction		17
Defining technology transfer		17
A brief history		19
Key issues		20
Geographical differences		21
Structuring the function		22
Bridging the funding gap		22
Chapter 2	Technology transfer trends	24
Summary		24
Introduction		25
Technology transfer funding trends		25
US		25
Europe		27
Canada		29
Technology transfer outcome trends		31
US		31

Europe	38
Canada	39
Technology transfer return on investment trends	41
US	41
Europe	43
Canada	45
Technology transfer league tables	47
Healthcare measures	47
Technology transfer trends	53
Trends by research budget	55
Trends by age	57
Trends by office size	59
Key trends	61
Geographical differences	61
Structuring the function	62
Bridging the funding gap	63

Chapter 3 Geographical differences 66

Summary	66
Introduction	67
Country-level regulations	67
IP ownership	68
Technology transfer	69
Institutional location	70
Technology transfer in the US	72
IP ownership	73
Technology transfer	74
Technology transfer in Europe	75
IP ownership	75
Technology transfer	76
Technology transfer in the rest of the world	77
Japan	77
Canada	78
Australia	78
Recommendations	79

Chapter 4 Structuring the function 82

Summary	82
Introduction	83

Structural issues	83
Commercial returns	85
Operational effectiveness	86
Culture and process	88
Independence	90
In-house, non-profit model	91
Case study: University of California	92
Case study: K.U.Leuven	94
Case study: City of Hope	96
Independent, non-profit model	97
Case study: Wisconsin Alumni Research Foundation	97
Case study: Arizona Technology Enterprises	100
Case study: Isis Innovation	101
Independent, for-profit model	103
Case study: IP Group	103
Case study: Fusion IP	105
Case study: IPSO Ventures	106
Recommendations	108
Chapter 5	Bridging the funding gap
	112
Summary	112
Introduction	113
The funding gap	113
Technology transfer start-ups	115
Bridging the gap	116
Translational research	117
University seed capital	117
Alternative venture capital	119
Recommendations	120
Chapter 6	Appendix
	124
Research sources	124
Bibliography	124

List of Figures

Figure 1.1:	The technology transfer process	18
Figure 2.2:	Average research expenditure for US Universities, Hospitals and Research Institutions, 1997-2006	26
Figure 2.3:	Average US Technology Transfer Office staffing levels, 1997-2006	27
Figure 2.4:	Distribution of European Technology Transfer Offices by staffing levels, 2006	28
Figure 2.5:	Average research expenditure for Canadian Universities, 1996-2006	29
Figure 2.6:	Canadian venture capital investments and fundraising, 2003-2006	30
Figure 2.7:	Average number of invention disclosures received by US Technology Transfer Offices, 1997-2006	31
Figure 2.8:	Average number of patents processed by US Technology Transfer Offices, 2001-2006	33
Figure 2.9:	Average number and value of US Technology Transfer licenses, 2004-2006	35
Figure 2.10:	US Technology Transfer licenses by exclusivity, 2006	36
Figure 2.11:	US Technology Transfer licenses by size of partner, 2006	37
Figure 2.12:	Average change in European Technology Transfer outcomes, 2004-2006	38
Figure 2.13:	Average number of innovation disclosures and patents processed by Canadian Technology Transfer Offices, 1996-2006	39
Figure 2.14:	Average license revenue received by Canadian Technology Transfer Offices, 2000-2006	40
Figure 2.15:	Rate of return for invention disclosures received by US Technology Transfer Offices, 1997-2006	41
Figure 2.16:	Rate of return for patents processed by US Technology Transfer Offices, 2001-2006	42
Figure 2.17:	Rate of return for US Technology Transfer licenses, 2004-2006	43
Figure 2.18:	Rate of return for innovation disclosures and patents processed by Canadian Technology Transfer Offices, 1996-2006	45
Figure 2.19:	Rate of return for Canadian Technology Transfer licensing, 2000-2006	46
Figure 2.20:	Surrogate outcomes for the top 10 US university technology transfer offices ranked by research budget, 2006	55
Figure 2.21:	Technology transfer outcomes for the top 10 US university technology transfer offices ranked by research budget, 2006	56
Figure 2.22:	Surrogate outcomes for the top 10 US university technology transfer offices ranked by year established, 2006	57
Figure 2.23:	Technology transfer outcomes for the top 10 US university technology transfer offices ranked by year established, 2006	58
Figure 2.24:	Surrogate outcomes for the top 10 US university technology transfer offices ranked by office size, 2006	59
Figure 2.25:	Technology transfer outcomes for the top 10 US university technology transfer offices ranked by office size, 2006	60
Figure 4.26:	Technology Transfer Office services provided to European universities, 2006	84
Figure 4.27:	Technology Transfer Office services provided to other European public institutions, 2006	85
Figure 4.28:	IP Group's academic partners	105
Figure 5.29:	The technology transfer 'funding gap'	114

List of Tables

Table 2.1:	Milken Institute University Biotechnology Publication Rankings, 1998-2002	48
Table 2.2:	Milken Institute University Biotech Patent Rankings, 2000-2004	49
Table 2.3:	Milken Institute University Biotechnology Publication and Patent Rankings, 1998-2004	50
Table 2.4:	Top 20 universities by healthcare US patent grants, 2006-07	51
Table 2.5:	Top 10 hospital and research institutions by healthcare US patent grants, 2006-07	52
Table 2.6:	Top 10 US university technology transfer offices by research expenditure, 2006	53
Table 2.7:	Top 10 US hospital and research institution technology transfer offices by research expenditure, 2006	54
Table 3.8:	Cross country technology transfer survey results	68
Table 4.9:	University of California technology transfer summary, 2008	92
Table 4.10:	K U Leuven technology transfer summary, 2008	94
Table 4.11:	City of Hope technology transfer summary, 2008	96
Table 4.12:	Wisconsin Alumni Research Foundation technology transfer summary, 2008	98
Table 4.13:	Arizona Technology Enterprises technology transfer summary, 2008	100
Table 4.14:	ISIS Innovation technology transfer summary, 2008	102
Table 4.15:	IP Group technology transfer summary, 2008	104
Table 4.16:	Fusion IP technology transfer summary, 2008	106
Table 4.17:	IPSO Ventures technology transfer summary, 2008	107

